

Complaint No.: 06-12-011  
Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
Witnesses: V. Kirkwood  
P. Piercy



(U 338-E)

***PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF SOUTHERN  
CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E)***

Before the  
**Public Utilities Commission of the State of California**

Rosemead, California

# Prepared Direct Testimony of Southern California Edison Company

## Table Of Contents

Section	Page	Witness
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1	Kirkwood/Piercy
II. BACKGROUND .....	2	
A. SCE Provides Electric Service To Agricultural Customers In Newberry Springs, California.....	2	
B. The Archibeks Received Electrical Service For More Than Three Years But Did Not Pay For It. ....	2	
C. SCE Retroactively Billed The Archibeks For Unbilled Charges. ....	3	
D. The Archibeks Held Service Accounts For Adjacent/Nearby Fields. ....	3	
E. The Archibeks Have Consistently Failed to Timely Pay Their Electric Bills.....	4	
F. The Archibeks Have Engaged in Suspicious Activity Concerning Their Accounts.....	8	
G. The Archibeks Still Owe A Substantial Sum To SCE.....	9	
III. SCE PROPERLY BILLED THE ARCHIBEKS RETROACTIVELY PURSUANT TO TARIFF RULE 17.....	9	
A. Tariff Rule 17(D), 17(E) And 17(F) Each Provide SCE Authority To Bill The Archibek’s Retroactively.....	9	
1. Tariff Rule 17(D).....	9	
2. Tariff Rule 17(E).....	10	
3. Tariff Rule 17(F).....	10	
B. D.86-06-035 Authorizes Utilities To Seek Full Compensation For Service Provided. ....	11	
IV. CONCLUSION.....	11	
Appendix A STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS.....	12	

---

Exhibit A

Exhibit B

Exhibit C

1 **I.**

2 **INTRODUCTION**

3 This matter arises out of a billing dispute between Southern California Edison Company (SCE)  
4 and Mr. Eric Archibek and Mrs. Sandi Archibek (Archibeks) concerning electric utility bills totaling  
5 \$103,982.03 that SCE submitted for previously-unbilled electric service provided from January 1998 to  
6 January 2001, and subsequent electric service provided from February 2001 to July 2001. In their  
7 Complaint, the Archibeks argue that they should be relieved from paying the entire balance owed  
8 because they did not own the property served (an alfalfa field in Newberry Springs, California near  
9 Barstow, California), and are therefore supposedly not responsible for the bill. The Archibeks claim that  
10 they sold the alfalfa field to Mr. Robert Kasner in 1998, and that pursuant to a field lease/partnership  
11 agreement between Mr. Kasner and the Archibeks – under which agreement the Archibeks continued to  
12 farm the property – Mr. Kasner is responsible for paying the bill. As the Archibeks would like to have  
13 it, Mr. Kasner is solely responsible for the bill, and SCE should bear responsibility for collecting the  
14 amount owed from Mr. Kasner.

15 The Archibeks' Complaint is without merit. The Archibeks cannot dispute that they were the  
16 validly billed as the customer of record for this account. Nor can the Archibeks dispute that they used  
17 and benefited from the electricity retroactively billed by SCE. Moreover, any dispute concerning the  
18 field lease/partnership agreement between Mr. Kasner and the Archibeks is a private dispute between  
19 these parties, and not SCE's (nor the Commission's) responsibility to resolve. SCE validly billed the  
20 Archibeks pursuant to its Commission-approved Rule 17 tariff, which expressly authorizes retroactive  
21 billing to collect unbilled charges.

22 Section II discusses the circumstances that led to the retroactive bill, and summarizes the billing  
23 history and status of multiple commercial and residential accounts held by the Archibeks. Section III  
24 fully explains why the retroactive bill is valid under SCE's Rule 17 tariff. Section IV concludes SCE's  
25 direct testimony.  
26

1 II.

2 BACKGROUND

3 **A. SCE Provides Electric Service To Agricultural Customers In Newberry Springs,**  
4 **California.**

5 Newberry Springs, California is a large valley area near Barstow, California situated between  
6 Interstate 15 and Interstate 40. SCE provides electrical service to residential and commercial customers  
7 in this community. Some of the larger commercial accounts served by SCE are held by agricultural  
8 customers who grow alfalfa and other crops in this area of California. Many of the fields used for these  
9 crops are individually metered to measure the electricity used by the particular field's water pump and  
10 related farming equipment.

11 **B. The Archibeks Received Electrical Service For More Than Three Years But Did Not Pay**  
12 **For It.**

13 In the early to mid 1990s, Eric Archibek took over as the customer of record on a number of  
14 agricultural accounts previously held by his father Ben Archibek, who is now deceased. On May 15,  
15 1997, SCE installed Meter No. O728-002166 to measure the electric usage for a water pump and related  
16 farming equipment for one of two alfalfa fields farmed by Mr. Archibek located near the intersection of  
17 Minneola and Silver Valley Road in Newberry Springs.<sup>1</sup> The newly-installed meter replaced Meter No.  
18 O728K-1995, and was set with zero registration on the meter. For the next few years, the new meter  
19 (Meter No. O728-002166) accurately measured the Archibek's electric usage at the field,<sup>2</sup> but SCE did  
20 not submit any bills to the Archibeks because of an apparent administrative error that occurred when

---

<sup>1</sup> SCE migrated to a different billing system in approximately 1998. Consequently, billing and metering information that pre-dates 1998 is generally unavailable. SCE's records indicate that Meter No. O728K-1995 was installed at a location referred to as "72 Minneola", which was listed as the "service account" address for several Archibek accounts.

<sup>2</sup> Because SCE set the meter with zero registration, SCE was able to obtain a reading for the meter in January 2001, which determined the "total usage" since the meter was installed. SCE calculated the monthly usage by dividing the "total usage" by the number of months since the May 15, 1997 installation date, and calculated monthly charges based on the monthly usage and applicable agricultural rate.

1 SCE replaced the old meter. Due to the error, SCE did not input the meter into its billing system, and  
2 did not submit any bills based on the measured usage.

3 **C. SCE Retroactively Billed The Archibeks For Unbilled Charges.**

4 Sometime in April 2000, SCE discovered that it was not submitting any bills for electric service  
5 measured by the newly-installed meter (Meter No. O728-002166). Mr. Archibek had called SCE that  
6 month to notify SCE that there was a “no lights” situation and problem with one of the distribution lines  
7 servicing the fields. When SCE checked the problem, it discovered at the same time that the new meter  
8 was not in the billing system (i.e. set to a specific service account or customer).

9 SCE conducted a field investigation over the next year to determine the correct customer of  
10 record for the meter, and to update its billing system with the correct billing information. SCE  
11 determined in its investigation that the Archibeks had farmed the field throughout the three-year plus  
12 period that SCE inadvertently had stopped submitting bills to them. After completing its investigation,  
13 SCE updated its billing system, and established Service Account No. 018-5150-57 for the new meter. In  
14 April 2001, SCE submitted bills to the Archibeks for Service Account No. 018-5150-57, retroactively  
15 billing the Archibeks for unbilled electric service provided from January 1998 to January 2001, as  
16 accurately measured by Meter No. O728-002166. SCE also billed the Archibeks for their subsequent  
17 usage from February 2001 to April 2001, and continued to bill the Archibeks for electric usage  
18 measured by this meter from April 2001 to July 2001. By July 2001, the total balance owed by the  
19 Archibeks on this service account was \$103,982.03. The three-year retroactive portion of this balance is  
20 \$91,709.10.

21 **D. The Archibeks Held Service Accounts For Adjacent/Nearby Fields.**

22 SCE’s determination that the Archibeks were the correct customer of record is supported by  
23 billing information concerning the Archibeks’ other active service accounts during this period. SCE’s  
24 records indicate that the Archibeks held multiple agricultural accounts at a service account address  
25 known in SCE’s billing system as “72 Minneola”, which is where Meter No. O728-002166 was located.  
26 In fact, the Archibeks maintained Service Account No. 009-8873-88 at “72 Minneola” for fourteen  
27 years, from April 1987 to July 2001. Based on SCE’s records, this service account was for a field

1 nearby/adjacent to the field at issue here. Notably, the Archibeks, albeit often untimely and not in full,  
2 paid portions of bills that SCE submitted to the Archibeks from 1998 to 2001 for this service account,  
3 without asserting that Mr. Kasner or another party was responsible for the bills, even though Mr. Kasner  
4 apparently purchased this nearby/adjacent field from the Archibeks in 1998.

5 In addition, SCE has no record of the Archibeks canceling service to the service accounts at the  
6 “72 Minneola” service address prior to 2001. The Archibeks were therefore the last customer of record  
7 for service provided to the fields at this service address. Consequently, the Archibeks should have been  
8 aware that SCE had inadvertently stopped billing them for the electric service they were continuing to  
9 use.

10 Notably, the Archibeks initially did not object to the retroactive bill on the ground that Mr.  
11 Kasner or another party was responsible. Indeed, soon after receiving the bill, Mr. Archibek  
12 acknowledged the debt and requested the opportunity to make installment payments. SCE denied Mr.  
13 Archibek’s request due to the Archibeks’ credit problems and delinquent payment history discussed  
14 below. The Archibeks further acknowledged the debt when they filed for Chapter 12 bankruptcy and  
15 listed SCE as an unsecured creditor. The Archibeks did not raise its allegations here until December  
16 2006, more than 5 years after SCE retroactively billed them. The not-so-coincidental timing of the  
17 Archibek’s Complaint against SCE, raised several years after SCE submitted the bill, demonstrates that  
18 the Archibeks are merely trying to avoid a debt duly owed.

19 **E. The Archibeks Have Consistently Failed to Timely Pay Their Electric Bills.**

20 The Archibeks have been an SCE customer for a number of years, and are the customer of record  
21 on several other residential and commercial accounts with SCE, in addition to the account SCE billed  
22 retroactively. The Archibeks’ payment history on these accounts reflects repeated delinquencies,  
23 requiring SCE to send a number of collection/disconnection notices to prompt payment.

24 By March 1, 2005, two days before the Archibeks ultimately filed for Chapter 12 bankruptcy in  
25 United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, Bankruptcy No. RS05-11896-PC, the  
26 Archibeks had a cumulative balance totaling greater than \$100,000 for all of their accounts, requiring

SCE to send yet another collection/disconnection notice. Table I below lists the outstanding balances owed by the Archibeks on their multiple domestic and commercial accounts prior to their filing for bankruptcy.<sup>3</sup>

Table I

Customer Account	Service Account	Service Address	Rate Schedule	Dates of service	Prepetition Charges
2-25-193-2299	00-1755-04	41717 Silver Valley Rd. Newberry Springs, CA	Domestic	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	\$69,143.01
2-25-193-2299	002-9413-95	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	Domestic	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-25-193-2299	005-7757-66	42514 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	Domestic	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-25-193-2299	007-9619-23	72 Minneola – Silver Valley Newberry Springs, CA	Domestic	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-22-567-4951	018-5150-57	72 Minneola Newberry Springs, CA	PA-2	01/01/98 - 07/30/01	(2) \$103,982.03
2-02-200-7546	000-9116-96	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	GS-1	11/15/95 - 04/20/01	\$23,036.27
2-20-336-8675	009-8873-88	72 Minneola Newberry Springs, CA	TOU-PA-B	09/22/99 - 08/01/01	\$41,180.08
2-25-193-2299	003-6617-49	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	PA-1	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-25-193-2299	020-4196-25	72 Minneola- Newberry Springs, CA	TOU-PA- SOP-1	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-25-193-2299	021-8095-08	47025 Valley Center Rd. Newberry Springs, CA	PA-2	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
2-25-193-2299	021-8398-75	72 Minneola – Silver Valley Newberry Springs, CA	TOU-PA- SOP-1	07/18/03 - 01/18/05	(1) Summary billed
	Service Investigation CASE # 72- 2002-00331	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA		07/23/99 - 07/23/02	\$16,816.01
(1) Summary Billed Accounts as of 7/03/06					
(2) Re-billed Amount includes Billing Periods 01/01/98 - 4/16/01 along with subsequent bills 7/30/01					

<sup>3</sup> The retroactive bill for \$103,982.03 for Service Account No. 018-5150 are the charges that the Archibeks are disputing in its Complaint. The Archibeks do not dispute the balances owed on its other accounts listed in Table I.



1 By the time the Archibeks filed for bankruptcy, SCE was summary billing some of the  
2 Archibeks' individual service accounts at Customer Account No. 2-25-193-2299. In Schedule F to their  
3 bankruptcy filing, the Archibeks listed SCE as a creditor holding unsecured priority claims for  
4 \$127,758.08 for this customer account. (Attachment B, Schedule F).

5 After receiving notice of the Archibeks' bankruptcy filing, SCE suspended collection on the  
6 Archibeks' pre-petition accounts, cancelled the upcoming disconnection, and established new post-  
7 petition residential and commercial accounts for the Archibeks going forward, including a residential  
8 account at the Archibeks' residence at 41717 Silver Valley Road; Service Account No. 025-6252-72  
9 (the "41717 Silver Valley Road Account").

10 SCE began billing these new accounts on or about March 3, 2005. Despite their obligation to  
11 pay their post-petition debt, the Archibeks did not make any payments on any of their newly established  
12 post-petition accounts, leaving a total balance due of \$23,564.68. Table II below lists the outstanding  
13 balances owed by the Archibeks on their multiple post-petition domestic and commercial accounts as of  
14 the date of their complaint against SCE.

Table II

<b>Customer Account</b>	<b>Service Address</b>	<b>Service Account</b>	<b>Rate Schedule</b>	<b>Post Petition Charges</b>
2-26-982-9271	41717 Silver Valley Rd. Newberry Springs, CA	025-6252-72	Domestic	\$2,763.55
2-26-982-9271	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	025-6253-06	PA-1	\$1,143.97
2-26-982-9271	42514 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	025-6252-84	Domestic	\$320.95
2-26-982-9271	72 Minneola – Silver Valley Newberry Springs, CA	025-6252-93	Domestic	\$373.00
2-26-982-9271	72 Minneola Newberry Springs, CA	025-6253-15	PA-2	\$5,289.81
2-26-982-9271	72 Minneola- Newberry Springs, CA	025-6253-19	PA-2	\$12,374.83
2-26-982-9271	41714 Limousine Newberry Springs, CA	025-6252-80	Domestic	\$1,298.57

On July 6, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court dismissed the Archibeks’ bankruptcy. The Notice of Dismissal provided that “any discharge entered in this case is hereby vacated in its entirety.” (Attachment C). Accordingly, pursuant to the Notice of Dismissal, SCE transferred all of the pre-petition debt owed by the Archibeks back to active accounts, and summarily billed all debt to the Archibeks’ 41717 Silver Valley Road Account –Customer Account 2-26-982-9271, Service Account No. 025-6252-72. The Archibeks continued to fail making payments on the summary-billed account. Pursuant to SCE Tariff Rule 11(B)(5), SCE began disconnecting electrical service to each of the Archibeks’ summary-billed service accounts, beginning on October 17, 2005, when SCE disconnected service to the Silver Valley Road Account, through November 15, 2005, when SCE disconnected service to the last active account that was being summary billed.

In April 2006, SCE re-connected service to the Archibek’s residence at 41717 Silver Valley Road after the Archibeks submitted a deposit. SCE has since again disconnected the Archibeks on this account, because of the Archibeks’ continued failure to make timely and complete payments.

To date, the Archibeks have not paid their summary bill now due, and have a cumulative balance greater than \$130,000 on accounts in collection (which includes the retroactively billed account), and greater than \$20,000.00 for active accounts.

1 **F. The Archibeks Have Engaged in Suspicious Activity Concerning Their Accounts.**

2 In addition to their failure to timely pay their electric bills, the Archibeks have engaged in  
3 suspicious activity concerning their accounts.

4 SCE completed a service investigation concerning electricity usage for another domestic account  
5 held in 2002 by the Archibeks at 41714 Limousine, Newberry Springs, CA. The investigation revealed  
6 that the meter for this account had been tampered with, resulting in unauthorized usage of electricity  
7 from July 1999 to July 2002 totaling approximately \$16,816.01, which remains unpaid.

8 During the days leading up to the October 2005 disconnection at the Archibeks' 41717 Silver  
9 Valley Road residence, additional suspicious activity occurred. On October 13, 2005, a person who  
10 identified himself/herself as Dr. V. J. Lee called to obtain service at the 41717 Silver Valley Road  
11 residence, notwithstanding that this was the Archibeks' existing residence at the time. After determining  
12 that possible false information was being provided, SCE did not open an account. Several months later,  
13 a similar tactic was used, when a person who identified himself as Brian Smith called to obtain service  
14 at the 41717 Silver Valley Road residence. SCE again suspected that false information was being  
15 provided given that this was the Archibeks' residence, and did not open an account. SCE withheld  
16 service in each of these instances under Tariff Rule 3(B), which provides that SCE may refuse service if  
17 the applicant is engaged in suspicious activity.

18 Additional suspicious activity has occurred since then.

19 In December 2006, SCE received an anonymous tip that the Archibeks had resumed electricity  
20 usage at their 41417 Silver Valley Road residence. SCE estimated the usage as part of its investigation,  
21 and determined that the Archibeks had received unauthorized electricity from at least October 2006 to  
22 the present in an amount greater than \$1,500.

23 In September 2007, SCE learned that the Archibeks had leased an alfalfa field from Mr. Carl  
24 Ross, but left the electrical service in Mr. Ross' name, despite an apparent agreement to do otherwise.  
25 The situation with Mr. Ross is similar to the situation between the Archibeks and Mr. Kasner, with SCE  
26 left unpaid in the middle of a dispute. The disputed bill totals more than \$19,000.00. SCE is still  
27 completing its investigation.

1 **G. The Archibeks Still Owe A Substantial Sum To SCE.**

2 SCE has continued to diligently pursue payment from the Archibeks on all debt owed by them,  
3 by transferring old debt to new accounts opened by the Archibeks, who have demonstrated a pattern of  
4 opening the new accounts to try to avoid their debts. Despite SCE's efforts, the Archibeks owe a  
5 substantial sum to SCE, and their payment history on their accounts reflects repeated delinquencies.  
6 The Archibeks have not re-established their credit history to the satisfaction of SCE, furnished a  
7 qualified guarantor, or made a cash deposit, as required under Tariff Rules 6(A) and 7(A) to re-establish  
8 their credit with SCE. Tellingly, the Archibeks never disputed any electric bill until filing its Complaint,  
9 and indeed, acknowledged \$127,758.08 of its debt to SCE in its March 2005 bankruptcy filing. The  
10 timing of the Archibeks' Complaint reveals that they are merely searching for an excuse to avoid a debt  
11 duly owed.

12 **III.**

13 **SCE PROPERLY BILLED THE ARCHIBEKS RETROACTIVELY PURSUANT TO TARIFF**

14 **RULE 17**

15 **A. Tariff Rule 17(D), 17(E) And 17(F) Each Provide SCE Authority To Bill The Archibek's**  
16 **Retroactively**

17 Rule 17 authorizes SCE to bill the Archibeks retroactively for uncollected charges so that SCE  
18 receives full compensation for the electric service it provided them.

19 **1. Tariff Rule 17(D)**

20 The retroactive bill that SCE submitted in April 2001 was proper under Tariff Rule 17(D), even  
21 if SCE's actions caused the error leading to the retroactive bill. Indeed, Rule 17(D) specifically defines  
22 a "Billing Error" as "an error by SCE which results in incorrect billing charges to the customer." See  
23 Attachment A, Rule 17 (emphasis added). And under Rule 17(D), "where SCE overcharges or  
24 undercharges a customer as the result of a Billing Error, SCE may render an adjusted bill for the amount  
25 of the undercharge" for a period of up to three years. *Id.*

26 This is precisely the procedure SCE followed here. The Archibeks received electric service from  
27 SCE for more than three years without being billed for it. Regardless of whether the billing error was

1 SCE's fault or not, Rule 17 authorized SCE to render a bill to the Archibeks for the undercharge for a  
2 three-year period, January 1998 to January 2001.

3 **2. Tariff Rule 17(E)**

4 The retroactive bill was also proper under 17(E). Rule 17(E) governs the adjustment of bills for  
5 unauthorized use, which is defined as including any "intentional or unintentional use of energy whereby  
6 SCE is denied full compensation for electric service provided." See Attachment A, Rule 17(E). As with  
7 a Rule 17(D) billing error, "where SCE determines that there has been Unauthorized Use of electric  
8 service, SCE may bill the customer for SCE's estimate of such unauthorized use . . . for the most recent  
9 three years . . . ." *Id.* And this is whether the unauthorized use is unintentional or intentional. The  
10 estimated use may be determined by accurately-metered use. *Id.*

11 Here, the Archibeks received energy for a three-year period from SCE, but did not pay for it.  
12 The meter installed to measure the electric usage at the property (Meter No. O728-002166) operated  
13 continuously throughout this period, and accurately measured the Archibeks' usage for the entire three-  
14 year period. Whether or not the Archibeks received the unbilled energy intentionally or unintentionally,  
15 SCE can properly collect the unbilled amount under Rule 17(E) because SCE has not received full  
16 compensation for the electric service provided to the Archibeks. SCE accurately determined the usage  
17 by reading the meter, and billing the Archibeks at the appropriate rate.

18 What is more, SCE billed the Archibeks from February 1994 to May 1997 for service measured  
19 by the old meter (Meter No. O728K-1995), which was installed at the same address for the new meter  
20 (Meter No. O728-002166). Therefore, the Archibeks should have been aware that SCE had  
21 inadvertently stopped billing them for the electric service they were continuing to use, and are  
22 responsible for unauthorized use in light of the fact that they did seek to correct the situation.

23 **3. Tariff Rule 17(F)**

24 Rule 17(F) provides a catchall. Rule 17(F) provides, "any billing adjustment not specifically  
25 covered in the tariffs for an undercharge or overcharge shall not exceed three years." See Attachment A,  
26 Rule 17 (f) Consequently, even if the error in billing does not fit squarely within Rule 17(D) or Rule

1 17(E), SCE is also authorized to bill the Archibeks retroactively under Rule 17(F) to obtain full  
2 compensation for the energy provided to the Archibeks.

3 **B. D.86-06-035 Authorizes Utilities To Seek Full Compensation For Service Provided.**

4 In sum, the gravamen of Rule 17 – as provided in the rules discussed above – authorizes SCE to  
5 receive full compensation for electric service provided, and is consistent with the Commission’s  
6 decision in D.86-06-035. In D.86.06-035, the Commission adopted uniform rules for all gas and electric  
7 utilities concerning retroactive billing. In the decision, the Commission stated that its “only concern is  
8 that a customer who has received energy should pay what the applicable tariffs prescribe for that  
9 energy.” *Id.* Questions concerning “guilt, innocence, or intent” (i.e. responsibility for the error) are  
10 irrelevant. *Id.* D.86-06035 confirms that a utility can retroactively recover for energy used, but not paid  
11 for, by a customer.

12 **IV.**

13 **CONCLUSION**

14 The Archibeks’ Complaint is without merit. The Archibeks cannot dispute that they were the  
15 validly billed as the customer of record for this account. Nor can the Archibeks dispute that they used  
16 and benefited from the electricity retroactively billed by SCE. SCE validly billed the Archibeks  
17 pursuant to its Rule 17 tariff. All debt owed by the Archibeks to SCE is valid and duly owed.

**Appendix A**

**STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS**







1

A. Yes, it does.

2

3

**Exhibit A**

Rule 17

Sheet 1

ADJUSTMENT OF BILLS AND METER TESTS

A. General.

Estimated Usage: When regular, accurate meter readings are not available or the electric usage has not been accurately measured, SCE may estimate the customer's energy usage for billing purposes on the basis of information including, but not limited to, the physical condition of the metering equipment, available meter readings, records of historical use, and the general characteristics of the customer's load and operation.

B. Meter Tests.

1. Prior to Installation. Every meter will be tested at or prior to the time of installation, and no meter will be placed in service if found to register more than 1% fast or 1% slow.

2. On Customer Request. A customer may, on notice of not less than one week, require SCE to test the meter for his service.

No charge will be made for such a test, but, should a customer demand a test within six months after installation or more often than once in six months, he will be required to deposit \$2.00 to pay, in part, the cost of the test. This deposit will be returned if the meter is found to register more than 2% fast or 2% slow.

A customer shall have the right to require SCE to conduct the test in his presence or in the presence of an expert or other representative appointed by him. The results of the test will be furnished to the customer within a reasonable time after completion of the test.

C. Adjustment of Bills for Meter Error.

A Meter Error is incorrect kilowatthour, kilovarhour, or demand registration resulting from a malfunctioning or defective meter. It does not include Billing Error, Unauthorized Use, or an error in registration caused by meter tampering by an unauthorized person. It also does not include conditions such as grounds, shorts, incorrect meter readings, meter dial overs, improper load wiring (including other customers' circuits connected to the wiring), accounting errors, switched meters, improper customer wiring, blown fuse in one energized conductor, or incorrect meter sizing.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Advice 1123-E  
Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by  
John R. Fielder  
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

Date Filed Sep 28, 1995  
Effective Apr 23, 1998  
Resolution E-3530

Rule 17

Sheet 2

ADJUSTMENT OF BILLS AND METER TESTS

(Continued)

C. Adjustment of Bills for Meter Error: (Continued)

Where, as the result of a meter test a meter is found to be nonregistering or incorrectly registering, SCE may render an adjusted bill to the customer for the amount of the undercharge, and shall issue a refund or credit to the customer for the amount of the overcharge, computed back to the date that SCE determines the Meter Error commenced, except that the period of adjustment shall not exceed three years. Such adjusted bill shall be computed in accordance with the following:

1. Fast Meter. If a meter is found to be registering more than 2% fast, SCE will refund to the customer the amount of the overcharge based on corrected meter readings or SCE's estimate of the energy usage either for the known period of meter error or, if the period of error is not known, for the period during which the meter was in use, not to exceed six months.
2. Slow Meter. If a meter for residential service is found to be registering more than 25% slow, or any meter for other class of service is found to be registering more than 2% slow, SCE may bill the customer for the amount of the undercharge based on corrected meter readings or SCE's estimate of the energy usage either for the known period of meter error or, if the period of meter error is not known for the period the meter was in use, not exceeding three months in the case of a residential service to a SCE-metered Single-Family Dwelling or Accommodation as defined in Rule 1, Definitions, and three years for all other service.
3. Nonregistering Meters. If a meter is found to be nonregistering, SCE may bill the customer for SCE's estimate of the electric service used but not registered, not exceeding three months in the case of residential service to a SCE-metered Single-Family Dwelling or Accommodation as defined in Rule 1, Definitions, and three years for all other service.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Advice 1123-E  
Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by  
John R. Fielder  
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

Date Filed Sep 28, 1995  
Effective Apr 23, 1998  
Resolution E-3530

Rule 17

Sheet 3

ADJUSTMENT OF BILLS AND METER TESTS

(Continued)

D. Adjustment of Bills for Billing Error.

A Billing Error is an error by SCE which results in incorrect billing charges to the customer. Billing Errors may include incorrect meter reads or clerical errors by an SCE representative such as applying the wrong rate, wrong billing factor, or an incorrect calculation. Billing Error does not include a Meter Error or Unauthorized Use, nor any error in billing resulting from meter dial over caused by other than SCE; switched or mismarked meters by other than SCE; improper customer wiring; blown fuse in one energized conductor; inaccessible meter; failure of the customer to notify SCE of changes in the customer's equipment or operation; or failure of the customer to take advantage of a rate or condition of service for which the customer is eligible.

Where SCE overcharges or undercharges a customer as the result of a Billing Error, SCE may render an adjusted bill for the amount of the undercharge, and shall issue a refund or credit to the customer for the amount of the overcharge for the period of the billing error, but not exceeding three years in the case of an overcharge, and, in the case of an undercharge, not exceeding three months for residential service to a SCE-metered Single-Family Dwelling or Accommodation as defined in Rule 1, Definitions, and three years for all other service.

E. Adjustment of Bills for Unauthorized Use.

Unauthorized Use is the use of energy in noncompliance with SCE's tariffs or applicable law. It includes, but is not limited to, meter tampering, unauthorized connection or reconnection, theft, fraud, intentional or unintentional use of energy whereby SCE is denied full compensation for electric service provided.

Where SCE determines that there has been Unauthorized Use of electric service, SCE may bill the customer for SCE's estimate of such unauthorized use. However, such estimated bill shall indicate unauthorized use for the most recent three years and, separately, unauthorized use beyond the three-year period for collection as provided by law.

Nothing in this Rule shall be interpreted as limiting SCE's rights under any provisions of any applicable law.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Advice 1123-E  
Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by  
John R. Fielder  
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

Date Filed Sep 28, 1995  
Effective Apr 23, 1998  
Resolution E-3530

Rule 17  
ADJUSTMENT OF BILLS AND METER TESTS

Sheet 4

(Continued)

E. Adjustment of Bills for Unauthorized Use. (Continued)

1. Actual Usage.

If accurate meter readings from a remote check meter are available for the unauthorized use period, they will be used for billing purposes.

2. Estimated Usage.

If the electric usage has not been accurately measured, SCE may estimate the energy usage for billing purposes. The basis for the estimate may include, without limitation, the physical condition of the metering equipment, available meter readings, records of historical use, or the general characteristics of the load and operation of the Customer or person being billed, with consideration of any appropriate seasonal adjustment.

Estimated bills for the unauthorized use period may be determined by SCE based on one or more of the following, without limitation:

- a. Accurately-metered use from a remote check meter during the unauthorized use period;
- b. The known percent error in metering attributable to the unauthorized use;
- c. Accurately-metered use prior to the onset of the unauthorized use;
- d. The equipment and hours of operation of the Customer or person being billed;
- e. Accurately-metered subsequent use of 30 days or more (if available);
- f. Annual use profile of at least five Customers with similar connected load, premises load profiles, hours or energy use, etc. (percent of annual use); or
- g. Other reasonable and supportable billing methodology when none of the aforementioned billing techniques are appropriate under the circumstances.

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)

Advice 1123-E  
Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by  
John R. Fielder  
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

Date Filed Sep 28, 1995  
Effective Apr 23, 1998  
Resolution E-3530

Rule 17  
ADJUSTMENT OF BILLS AND METER TESTS

(Continued)

E. Adjustment of Bills for Unauthorized Use. (Continued)

3. Recovery of Associated Costs.

SCE may recover from the Customer the associated costs resulting from the unauthorized use including both investigative and equipment damage costs. Investigative costs include time and material spent for investigation, bookkeeping, film and film development, and other costs of gathering evidence. Equipment damage costs include the cost to replace the SCE-owned equipment damaged by the customer.

4. Discontinuance of Service.

In accordance with the provisions of Rule 11, where SCE determines unauthorized use is occurring, SCE may refuse or discontinue service without further notice.

If any part of the Customer's wiring or any other equipment, or the use thereof, is determined by SCE or any other authorized public agency to be unsafe or in violation of applicable laws, ordinances, rules or regulations of public authorities, or is in such condition as to endanger SCE's service facilities, SCE may discontinue service without further notice.

SCE may also discontinue service in accordance with the provisions of its tariffs, for nonpayment of a delinquent billing for unauthorized use and for associated costs, including nonpayment under an amortization agreement.

F. Limitation on Adjustment of Bills for Energy Use.

For any error in billing not defined as billing error, meter error, or unauthorized use, SCE is not required to adjust the bill. However, any billing adjustment not specifically covered in the tariffs for an undercharge or overcharge shall not exceed three years.

(To be inserted by utility)

Advice 1123-E-A  
Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by  
John R. Fielder  
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)

Date Filed Mar 6, 1995  
Effective Apr 23, 1998  
Resolution E-3530



**Exhibit B**

04-22697 PC

**ORIGINAL** United States Bankruptcy Court  
Central District of California

**Voluntary Petition**

Name of Debtor (if individual, enter Last, First, Middle): <b>Archibek, Eric Duane</b>	Name of Joint Debtor (Spouse)(Last, First, Middle):
All Other Names used by the Debtor in the last 6 years (include married, maiden, and trade names):	All Other Names used by the Joint Debtor in the last 6 years (include married, maiden, and trade names):
Last four digits of Soc. Sec. No. / Complete EIN or other Tax I.D. No. (if more than one, state all): <b>7229</b>	Last four digits of Soc. Sec. No. / Complete EIN or other Tax I.D. No. (if more than one, state all):
Street Address of Debtor (No. & Street, City, State & Zip Code): <b>41717 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365</b>	Street Address of Joint Debtor (No. & Street, City, State & Zip Code):
County of Residence or of the Principal Place of Business: <b>San Bernardino</b>	County of Residence or of the Principal Place of Business:
Mailing Address of Debtor (if different from street address): <b>41717 Silver Valley Road Newberry Springs, CA 92365</b>	Mailing Address of Joint Debtor (if different from street address):
Location of Principal Assets of Business Debtor (if different from street address above):	

**Information Regarding the Debtor (Check the Applicable Boxes)**

Venue (Check any applicable box)

Debtor has been domiciled or has had a residence, principal place of business, or principal assets in this District for 180 days immediately preceding the date of this petition or for a longer part of such 180 days than in any other District.

There is a bankruptcy case concerning debtor's affiliate, general partner, or partnership pending in this District.

This petition is being filed by a corporation or partnership under chapter 11 and the debtor acknowledges that a Venue Disclosure Form is required to be filed by General Order 97-02.

<p><b>Type of Debtor (Check all boxes that apply)</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual(s)      <input type="checkbox"/> Railroad  <input type="checkbox"/> Corporation      <input type="checkbox"/> Stockbroker  <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership      <input type="checkbox"/> Commodity Broker  <input type="checkbox"/> Other      <input type="checkbox"/> Clearing Bank</p>	<p><b>Chapter or Section of Bankruptcy Code Under Which the Petition is Filed (Check one box)</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 7      <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 11      <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 13  <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 9      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chapter 12  <input type="checkbox"/> Sec. 304 - Case ancillary to foreign proceeding</p>
<p><b>Nature of Debts (Check one box)</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Consumer/Non-Business      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Business</p>	<p><b>Filing Fee (Check one box)</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full Filing Fee Attached  <input type="checkbox"/> Filing Fee to be paid in installments (Applicable to individuals only) Must attach signed application for the court's consideration certifying that the debtor is unable to pay fee except in installments. Rule 1006(b). See Official Form No. 3.</p>
<p><b>Chapter 11 Small Business (Check all boxes that apply)</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Debtor is a small business as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101  <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor is and elects to be considered a small business under 11 U.S.C. § 1121(e) (Optional)</p>	

**Statistical/Administrative Information (Estimates only)**

Debtor estimates that funds will be available for distribution to unsecured creditors.

Debtor estimates that, after any exempt property is excluded and administrative expenses paid, there will be no funds available for distribution to unsecured creditors.

<b>Estimated Number of Creditors</b>						
1-15	16-49	50-99	100-199	200-999	1000-over	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Estimated Assets</b>						
\$0 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1 million	\$1,000,001 to \$10 million	\$10,000,001 to \$50 million	\$50,000,001 to \$100 million
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Estimated Debts</b>						
\$0 to \$50,000	\$50,001 to \$100,000	\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$500,001 to \$1 million	\$1,000,001 to \$10 million	\$10,000,001 to \$50 million	\$50,000,001 to \$100 million
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

03/03/2005 **\*\*FILED\*\*** 12:10  
**RS05-11896PC**  
 DEBTOR:  
 ARCHIBEK, ERIC DUANE  
 JUDGE: HON. P. Carroll - 645  
 TRUSTEE: ~~COHA~~ CH: 12 (INCOMPLETE)  
 341A MTG: DANR  
 ADR:  
  
 CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF. ID: 030  
 RECEIPT NO: RS-002808 \$ 239.00

**Voluntary Petition**  
 (This page must be completed and filed in every case)

Name of Debtors: **Eric D. Archibek**

**Prior Bankruptcy Case Filed Within Last 6 Years (If more than one, attach additional sheet)**

Location Where Filed: **Central District, Riverside Division, CA**

Case Number: **RS 04-22697 PC**  
 Date Filed: **11/19/2004**

**Pending Bankruptcy Case Filed by any Spouse, Partner or Affiliate of this Debtor (If more than one, attach additional sheet)**

Name of Debtor: **NONE**

Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date Filed: \_\_\_\_\_

District: \_\_\_\_\_

Relationship: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

**Signatures**

**Signature(s) of Debtor(s) (Individual/Joint)**  
 I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this petition is true and correct.  
 [If petitioner is an individual whose debts are primarily consumer debts and has chosen to file under chapter 7] I am aware that I may proceed under chapter 7, 11, 12 or 13 of title 11, United States Code, understand the relief available under each such chapter, and choose to proceed under chapter 7. I request relief in accordance with the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

X \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Debtor

X Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Joint Debtor

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Telephone Number and Fax Number (If not represented by attorney)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date 1-3-05

**Exhibit A**  
 (To be completed if debtor is required to file periodic reports (e.g., forms 10K and 10Q) with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and is requesting relief under chapter 11)  
 Exhibit A is attached and made a part of this petition.

**Exhibit B**  
 (To be completed if debtor is an individual whose debts are primarily consumer debts) I, the attorney for the petitioner named in the foregoing petition, declare that I have informed the petitioner that [he/she] may proceed under chapter 7, 11, 12 or 13 of title 11, United States Code, and have explained the relief available under each such chapter.

X Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Attorney for Debtor(s) \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

X \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Attorney for Debtor(s)  
**Stephen R. Wade**  
 Printed Name of Attorney for Debtor(s)  
**Stephen R Wade**  
 Firm Name  
**400 North Mountain Ave Suite #214B**  
 Address  
**Upland, CA 91786**  
**(909) 985-6500** **(909) 985-2865**  
 Telephone and Fax Number  
1-3-05 **79219**  
 Date Bar Number

**Exhibit C**  
 Does the debtor own or have possession of any property that poses or is alleged to pose a threat of imminent and identifiable harm to public health or safety?  
 Yes, and Exhibit C is attached and made a part of this petition.  
 No

**Signature of Non-Attorney Petition Preparer**  
 I certify that I am a bankruptcy petition preparer as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 110, that I prepared this document for compensation, and that I have provided the debtor with a copy of this document.

Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_  
 Printed Name of Bankruptcy Petition Preparer

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Complete Social Security Number (Required by 11 U.S.C. § 110(c).)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Address

**Signature(s) of Debtor (Corporation/Partnership)**  
 I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this petition is true and correct, and that I have been authorized to file this petition on behalf of the debtor.  
 The debtor requests relief in accordance with the chapter of title 11, United States Code, specified in this petition.

X Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Authorized Individual

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Print or Type Name of Authorized Individual

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Title of Authorized Individual

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

Names and complete Social Security numbers of all other individuals who prepared or assisted in preparing this document:

If more than one person prepared this document, attach additional sheets conforming to the appropriate official form for each person.

X Not Applicable \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature of Bankruptcy Petition Preparer

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

A bankruptcy petition preparer's failure to comply with the provisions of title 11 and the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure may result in fines or imprisonment or both. 11 U.S.C. § 110; 18 U.S.C. § 156.

**STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES**  
**INFORMATION REQUIRED BY LOCAL RULE 1015-2**  
**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

1. A petition under the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 or the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 has previously been filed by or against the debtor, his/her spouse, an affiliate of the debtor, any copartnership or joint venture of which debtor is or formerly was a general or limited partner, or member, or any corporation of which the debtor is a director, officer, or person in control, as follows: (Set forth the complete number and title of each such of prior proceeding, date filed, nature thereof, the Bankruptcy Judge and court to whom assigned, whether still pending and, if not, the disposition thereof. If none, so indicate. Also, list any real property included in Schedule A that was filed with any such prior proceeding(s).)

**Chapter 12, Case No. RS 04-22697, filed 11-19-04; Dismissed 2-17-05, no 109(g) bar**

2. (If petitioner is a partnership or joint venture) A petition under the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 or the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 has previously been filed by or against the debtor or an affiliate of the debtor, or a general partner in the debtor, a relative of the general partner, general partner of, or person in control of the debtor, partnership in which the debtor is a general partner, general partner of the debtor, or person in control of the debtor as follows: (Set forth the complete number and title of each such prior proceeding, date filed, nature of the proceeding, the Bankruptcy Judge and court to whom assigned, whether still pending and, if not, the disposition thereof. If none, so indicate. Also, list any real property included in Schedule A that was filed with any such prior proceeding(s).)

None.

3. (If petitioner is a corporation) A petition under the Bankruptcy Act of 1898 or the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 has previously been filed by or against the debtor, or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries, a director of the debtor, an officer of the debtor, a person in control of the debtor, a partnership in which the debtor is general partner, a general partner of the debtor, a relative of the general partner, director, officer, or person in control of the debtor, or any persons, firms or corporations owning 20% or more of its voting stock as follows: (Set forth the complete number and title of each such prior proceeding, date filed, nature of proceeding, the Bankruptcy Judge and court to whom assigned, whether still pending, and if not, the disposition thereof. If none, so indicate. Also, list any real property included in Schedule A that was filed with any such prior proceeding(s).)

None.

4. (If petitioner is an individual) A petition under the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978, including amendments thereof, has been filed by or against the debtor within the last 180 days: (Set forth the complete number and title of each such prior proceeding, date filed, nature of proceeding, the Bankruptcy Judge and court to whom assigned, whether still pending, and if not, the disposition thereof. If none, so indicate. Also, list any real property included in Schedule A that was filed with any such prior proceeding(s).)

**Chapter 12, Case No. RS 04-22697, filed 11-19-04; Dismissed 2-17-05 by Judge Peter Carroll with no section 109(g) bar against refiling**

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Upland

, California

  
Debtor

Dated: 3-3-05

FILED

United States Bankruptcy Court  
Central District of California

MAR 18 PM 3:08

COUNTY OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. RS 05-11896 PC (If known)  
DEPUTY

In re Eric Duane Archibek

Debtor.

ORIGINAL

SUMMARY OF SCHEDULES

AMOUNTS SCHEDULED

NAME OF SCHEDULE	ATTACHED (YES/NO)	NO. OF SHEETS	ASSETS	LIABILITIES	OTHER
A - Real Property	YES	1	\$ 0.00		
B - Personal Property	YES	3	\$ 133,322.00		
C - Property Claimed as Exempt	YES	1			
D - Creditors Holding Secured Claims	YES	1		\$ 5,304.95	
E - Creditors Holding Unsecured Priority Claims	YES	2		\$ 63,290.71	
F - Creditors Holding Unsecured Nonpriority Claims	YES	1		\$ 134,135.08	
G - Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases	YES	1			
H - Codebtors	YES	1			
I - Current Income of Individual Debtor(s)	YES	1			\$ 4,200.00
J - Current Expenditures of Individual Debtor(s)	YES	1			\$ 4,378.00
Total Number of sheets in ALL Schedules >		13			
Total Assets >			\$ 133,322.00		
Total Liabilities >				\$ 202,730.74	

17-31

17 GA

In re <b>Eric Duane Archibek</b>	Case No.: <b>Debtor. RS 05-11896 PC</b> (If known)
----------------------------------	---

## SCHEDULE F - CREDITORS HOLDING UNSECURED NONPRIORITY CLAIMS

Check this box if debtor has no creditors holding unsecured nonpriority claims to report on this Schedule F.

CREDITOR'S NAME, MAILING ADDRESS INCLUDING ZIP CODE, AND ACCOUNT NUMBER (See instructions, above.)	CODEBTOR HUSBAND, WIFE, JOINT OR COMMUNITY	DATE CLAIM WAS INCURRED AND CONSIDERATION FOR CLAIM. IF CLAIM IS SUBJECT TO SETOFF, SO STATE	CONTINGENT	UNLIQUIDATED	DISPUTED	AMOUNT OF CLAIM
ACCOUNT NO.		<b>Judgment</b>				<b>6,377.00</b>
<b>Beck Oil c/o John Martin 14297 Cajon Ave., #103 Victorville, CA 92392</b>						
ACCOUNT NO. <b>2-25-193-2299</b>		<b>Electric</b>				<b>127,758.08</b>
<b>S.C.E. Box 600 Rosemead, CA 91771</b>						

Continuation sheets attached

Subtotal >  
Total >

<b>\$134,135.08</b>
<b>\$134,135.08</b>

(Report also on Summary of Schedules)

2390

**Exhibit C**

**United States Bankruptcy Court  
Central District of California**

3420 Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3819

---

**NOTICE OF DISMISSAL WITH RESTRICTION AGAINST DEBTOR'S RE-FILING**

**DEBTOR(S) INFORMATION:**  
ARCHIBEK, ERIC DUANE  
**SSN:** XXX-XX-7229  
**EIN:** N/A  
41717 SILVER VALLEY ROAD  
NEWBERRY SPRINGS, CA 92365

**BANKRUPTCY NO.** RS05-11896-PC

**CHAPTER** 12

---

You are notified that an order was entered **DISMISSING** the above-captioned case with a prohibition against the refiling of another bankruptcy petition by or against the debtor for 180 days from the date this order is entered.

Any discharge entered in this case is hereby vacated in its entirety.

For The Court,

**JON D. CERETTO**  
Clerk of Court

Dated: July 6, 2005



Enterprise Systems Incorporated  
 11487 Sunset Hills Road  
 Reston, Virginia 20190-5234

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

District/off: 0973-6  
 Case: 05-11896-PC

User: CAJ  
 Form ID: VAN-18

Page 1 of 1  
 Total Served: 24

Date Rcvd: Jul 06, 2005

The following entities were served by first class mail on Jul 08, 2005.

D +ARCHIBEK, ERIC DUANE, 41717 SILVER VALLEY ROAD, NEWBERRY SPRINGS, CA 92365-9517  
 DA +STEPHEN R WADE, 400 N MOUNTAIN AVE SUITE 214B, UPLAND, CA 91786-5191  
 T +ROD DANIELSON, 3435 14TH STREET SUITE 100, RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3855  
 1 +AGNES KOETSIER, TRUSTEE C/C, CLAYSON, MANN YAEGER & HANSEN, 601 S. MAIN STREET,  
 CORONA, CA 92882-3497  
 2 +FRANCHISE TAX BOARD, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PO BOX 942867, SACRAMENTO, CA 94267-0001  
 3 +IRS, XXX, OGDEN, UT 84201-0001  
 4 +PRIMUS FINANCIAL, BOX 680020, FRANKLIN, TN 37068-0020  
 5 +S.C.E., BOX 600, ROSEMEAD, CA 91771-0001  
 6 +TOYOTA FINANCIAL, BOX 60114, CITY OF INDUSTRY, CA 91716-0114  
 7 EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, BANKRUPTCY GROUP MIC 92E, P.O. BOX 826880,  
 SACRAMENTO, CA 94280-0001  
 8 FRANCHISE TAX BOARD, ATTN: BANKRUPTCY, P. O. BOX 2952, SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-2952  
 9 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, INSOLVENCY GROUP 1, 290 NORTH "D" STREET,  
 SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401-1734  
 10 +UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, 3685 MAIN STREET, SUITE 300, RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-2804  
 12 +TOYOTA MOTOR CREDIT CORPORATION, 3200 WEST RAY ROAD, CHANDLER, AZ 85226-2450  
 13 PRIMUS AUTOMOTIVE FINANCIAL SER, PO BOX 55000 DEPT 5558901, DETROIT, MI 48255-0589  
 14 +PRIMUS AUTOMOTIVE FINANCIAL SER, COOKSEY TOOLEN GAGE DUFFY & WOOG, 535 ANTON BLVD TENTH FL,  
 COSTA MESA, CA 92626-1947  
 15 +INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, 290 NORTH D STREET, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92401-1716  
 16 +CHARLES S YOSHIDA, C/O CARL F AGREN ATTY, 2600 MICHELSON STE 850, IRVINE, CA 92612-6504  
 17 +ED HACKBARTH, PO BOX 1089, CORONA, CA 92878-1089  
 18 +PATHFINDER INVESTMENTS INC, PO BOX 5531, HACIENDA HEIGHT, CA 91745-0531  
 19 +VIN-JANG T LEE, 1045 OCEAN AVE #9, SANTA MONICA, CA 90403-3527  
 20 PRIMUS AUTOMOTIVE FINANCIAL SERVICE, P O BOX 55000 DEPT 5558901, DETROIT, MI 48255-0589  
 21 +SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO, 300 N LONE HILL AVE, SAN DIMAS, CA 91773-1741  
 22 +BECK OIL INC, C/O JOHN D. MARTIN, 13782 BEAR VALLEY RD D-3 #163, VICTORVILLE, CA 92392-8318

The following entities were served by electronic transmission.  
 NONE.

TOTAL: 0

\*\*\*\*\* BYPASSED RECIPIENTS \*\*\*\*\*

NONE.

TOTAL: 0

Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP or replacing an incorrect ZIP.  
 USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

I, Joseph Speetjens, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have served the attached document on the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Service and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

First Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 9): Pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Jul 08, 2005

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*Joseph Speetjens*